# GEORGE FOX UNIVERSITY

#### INTRODUCTION

- A recent trend in sports medicine research is to determine risk of injury during sport based on preseason functional performance test (FPT) measures.
- Equivocal findings associated with prior studies may leave PTs with uncertainty as to which FPT, or combination of FPTs, can best identify athletes who have a greater risk for injury.
- Previous studies have utilized "low-tech" FPT measures: standing long jump (SLJ), single-leg hop (SLH), lower extremity functional test (LEFT), and the Y-balance test (YBT) (1,3,4).
- These "low-tech" options may not be able to identify potential deficits that could be collected with "high-tech" measures (e.g., DVJ measures collected in a motion capture lab) (2).
- The purpose of this study was to determine if "high-tech" and/or "low-tech" preseason functional performance test measures were associated with non-contact time loss lower quadrant (LQ = low back and/or lower extremity) injuries.



## Be Known

### Are Preseason Functional and Biomechanical Measures Associated with Lower Quadrant Injury Risk in Division III Athletes? Natalie Ellis, SPT Jordan Petersen, SPT Jordon Reyes, SPT Victor Wilson, SPT CJ Zita, SPT

#### METHODS

Study design: Prospective cohort study

Aug 2015 - Nov 2016				
Participants: Total of 206 male (n = 101)				
and female (n = 105) Division III collegiate		Lov		
athletes from George Fox University				
		Ma		
Procedures:		Fer		
1. Recruited athletes from GFU sports		Fer		
2. Exclusion criteria; under the age of 18		Y B		
or restricted from full sport		Anl		
participation by the team's physician		All		
3. Athletes completed a preseason		All		
demographic questionnaire; height		Me		
measured with cloth tape		Me		
4. Participants performed a 5-min		Wo		
dynamic warm-up		Wa		
5. A lower quadrant biomechanical		Fer		
marker set was applied to each subject		Sta		
6. Athletes performed the following:		809		
a. Females: DVJ from 31 cm, SLJ, SLH		799		
(all in motion capture), YBT, and		(R)		
LEFT		709		
b. Males: SLJ, SLH (all in motion		699		
capture), YBT, and LEFT		(L)		
7. Non-contact LQ time loss injuries		709		
collected by the GFU ATCs		699		

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics (Means ± SD) for Division III Collegiate Athletes

Characteristic	All Athletes (n = 206)	Female Athletes (n = 105)	Male Athletes (n = 101)	<i>P</i> value
Age (y)	19.3 (1.2)	19.2 (1.2)	19.5 (1.2)	0.1
Years in School	2.1 (1.1)	2.2 (1.1)	2.0 (1.0)	0.2
Age Starting Sport	10.7 (3.6)	11.1 (3.0)	10.2 (4.0)	0.09
Height (m)	1.74 (.10)	1.69 (.08)	1.80 (.08)	0.0001

#### RESULTS

Test Variables	r Selected Functional Pe	ertormance
Test	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
Lower Extremity Functional Te	est	
Males 105 sec or less	1.0 (Referent)	
Males 106 sec or more	0.3 (0.0, 2.0)	0.2
Females 117 sec or less	1.0 (Referent)	
Females 118 sec or more	0.6 (0.2, 2.2)	0.5
Y Balance Test – Lower Quadra	ant	
Anterior Reach Difference		
All Athletes (< 4cm)	1.0 (Referent)	
All Athletes (> 4cm)	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)	0.1
Men (< 4cm)	1.0 (Referent)	
Men (> 4cm)	0.3 (0.1, 0.96)	0.04
Women (< 4 cm)	1.0 (Referent)	
Women (> 4 cm)	1.0 (0.4, 2.8)	0.99
Female Athletes Standing Long Jump		
80% or more	1.0 (Referent)	
79% or less	0.6 (0.2, 2.1)	0.4
(R) Single-Leg Hop (% ht)		
70% or more	1.0 (Referent)	
69% or less	1.1 (0.3, 3.7)	0.9
(L) Single-Leg Hop (% ht)		
70% or more	1.0 (Referent)	
69% or less	1.0 (0.3, 3.3)	0.9
Limb Symmetry Index		
10% or less	1.0 (Referent)	
More than 10%	2.8 (0.4, 22.4)	0.3
Jump/Hop Risk Factors		
3 or more below cut scores	0.6 (0.2, 2.2)	0.5
2 or less below cut scores	1.0 (Referent)	
Jump/Hop/LEFT Scores		
All 4 below cut scores	0.7 (0.2, 2.5)	0.6
All others	1.0 (Referent)	
(R) Single-Leg Hop		
Knee valgus at landing	0.7 (0.2, 2.5)	0.6
No valgus at landing	1.0 (Referent)	
(L) Single-Leg Hop		
Knee valgus at landing	0.4 (0.1, 2.3)	0.3
No valgus at landing	1.0 (Referent)	

	In g or
•	Ma
	pro oth Po ou o f
	0 ( 0
	Th te
	st Re
	ex co Ar
	aµ co Fii di
1 fu 2) ai al 3) P <i>T</i> 4) in	) Bru Jnctior 013;8( ) Hev nd valg thletes ) Plis redicto <i>her</i> . 20 ) Smi

#### RESULTS

- general: no association between YBT, LEFT, jump/hop measures and future injury.
- ales with greater than 4 cm asymmetry in nterior reach for YBT demonstrated a
- otective effect (\*opposite finding from her studies)
- ptential reasons for the differences between Ir study and others:
- Populations studied (1-4)
- OD injury: time loss vs. non-time loss (1,3,4) Not as many injuries occurred as expected

#### CONCLUSION

- here was no association between "lowech" FPT measures and time loss injury in nis population. This is counter to prior cudies.
- elationships between injury and
- reseason kinetic and kinematic data was
- xplored; however, unable to draw
- onclusions at this time (power; n = 50).
- nalysis of kinetic and kinematic data for pproximately 150 athletes to be
- ompleted soon.
- indings from this data analysis will guide irection for future prospective studies.

#### REFERENCES

- Imitt J, Heiderscheit BC, Manske RC, Niemuth PE, Rauh MJ. Lower extremity onal tests and risk of injury In division iii collegiate athletes. Int J Sports Phys Ther. (3):216-227.
- wett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, et al. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control gus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female es: a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2005; 33(4): 492-501.
- isky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, Underwood FB. Star excursion balance test as a tor of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. J Orthop Sports Phys 2006; 36(12): 911-919.
- ith CA, Chimera NJ, Warren M. Association of y balance test reach asymmetry and division I athletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015; 47(1): 136-141.