Validity of the PROMIS Physical Performance Scale in Determining Frailty and Physical Ability in Community-Dwelling Elderly Jeff Houck, PT, PhD | Tomoko Iwanaga | Christine Kieu | Keegan Lerma | Chelan Murasaki | Tawny Souza

Purpose

In many clinical settings, therapists are continuously seeking efficient and valid assessments for older adults to determine their physical abilities and functional status. The Patient Reported Outcome Instrument System (PROMIS) is a newly developed computer adapted test (CAT) that is being incorporated into electronic medical records and has been reported to assess a person's physical function. The current gold standard to assess different levels of frailty and physical function in older individuals is the Modified Physical Performance Test (mPPT). However, the mPPT is a lengthy performance based outcome measure that takes time to administer. The purpose of this study is to determine a more quick and efficient test to determine physical function.

Hypothesis

The PROMIS will be correlated with the mPPT and its individual items related to physical function in community-dwelling older adults who have multiple comorbidities. If there is a correlation between these outcome measures, it would give therapists an option to use an individualized item or a self-report measure to determine physical function and frailty level.

Participants

49 community-dwelling older individuals from Newberg, Oregon were recruited over a 3-month period. 3 people were excluded from the study due to the exclusion criteria, 46 participants (77 \pm 4.6 years; 27 females, 19 males) were included in the analysis.

Exclusion Criteria:

Mini Mental State Exam <26 out of 36 points (28.4 points \pm 1.5) Acute medical conditions within the past 6 months Currently receiving home health services

Methods

Participants completed the mPPT (29.1 points \pm 3.7), which included tasks such as the 5-time sit-to-stand (STS), climbing one flight of stairs, placing a book on a shelf, donning/doffing a coat, picking up a penny, and walking 50feet. These tasks were performed randomly throughout the session to reduce bias between the tests. All participants were provided with clear instructions and demonstration prior to a task. Statistical analysis included the Pearson correlation and multiple linear regression to determine the relationship between the patient characteristics, mPPT timed tasks and the PROMIS functional scale to determine which showed the most potential to simplify the functional assessment.

Results

Items in the mPPT	PROMIS	mPPT vs. PROMIS		
5-time STS	r=473	5 40		
	p= .001	č 35		
50-feet walk test	r=641	9 30		
	p= .000	b 25		
Picking up a Penny	r=465	r= .594		
	p= .001	E 15 p= .000		
Climbing one flight of	r=535	9 19 1 0		
stairs	p= .000			
Placing a book on a shelf	r=316			
	p= .036			
Donning/doffing a coat	r=277	$\frac{30}{40}$		
	p= .069	PROIVIIS score		

Table 1. Pearson product moment correlation was calculated for each timed item in the mPPT versus the PROMIS score. A pvalue of < .05 is considered significant.

Graph 1. Pearson correlation of the mPPT and the PROMIS physical function scale. A p-value of < .05 is considered significant



Graph 2. Individual PROMIS physical function scores separated by the participant's level of frailty according to the mPPT.

Table 3. Model Summary

Variables entered	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Sigr		
BIMI, age, PROMIS	.743	.552	.518	2.601			
a. Predictors: (Constant), BMI, Age, PROMIS							
b. Dependent variable: mPPT							



	Frailty						
		Present	Absent	Total			
ROMIS score ut-off= 46 pts	Test (+) \leq 46 pts	5	12	17			
	Test (-) > 46 pts	0	29	29			
	Total	5	41	46			
	Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 71%						

Table 2. Calculating the sensitivity and specificity of the PROMIS (cut-off score of 46 points) to find those who are considered frail. "Frailty present" includes participants who are moderately frail. "Frailty absent" includes participants who are "non-frail" and "mildly frail".

nificance





Discussion

The best item within the mPPT that was correlated with the PROMIS was the 50-foot walk test, which is related to gait speed (Table 1). The correlation is higher than the mPPT vs. the PROMIS, which may suggest that gait speed is significantly related to self-reported physical function.

Statistical analysis of the data revealed that the best independent predictors of the mPPT were the participants' BMI, age and PROMIS physical function scores. An increase in age or BMI was correlated to a decrease in the mPPT score. In contrast, when the PROMIS alone was compared to the mPPT, there was a significant, but low correlation (Graph) 1). When those factors are combined, there is a significant relationship to the mPPT scores (Table 3).

There was no good correlation or discrimination of frailty level with the PROMIS (Graph 2). This finding indicates that the PROMIS function scale should not replace a physical performance test. Instead, it can be used as a screening tool, prior to administering the mPPT, to further determine frailty in a community-dwelling population.

Declarative Statements

A PROMIS cut-off score of 46 points has 100% sensitivity, suggesting that the PROMIS can be used as a screening method to rule out frailty among individuals in the clinic before utilizing the mPPT.

The PROMIS physical function scale, which is new and validated, has no ceiling or floor effect. It is quick, cost-effective easy to use compared to other patient-reported outcome measures.

Age, BMI, and PROMIS combined is highly correlated to mPPT scores and should be taken into consideration in the clinical setting.

References

Binder, E., Storandt, M., & Birge, S. (1999). The Relation Between Psychometric Test Performance and Physical Performance in Older Adults. Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biomedical Sciences and Medical Sciences, 54(8), M428-M432.

Brown, M., Sinacore, D., Binder, E., & Kohrt, W. (2000). Physical and performance measures for the identification of mild to moderate frailty. The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 55(6), M350-5.

Hung, M., Franklin, J., Hon, S., Cheng, C., Conrad, J., & Saltzman, C. (2014). Time for a Paradigm Shift With Computerized Adaptive Testing of General Physical Function Outcomes Measurements. Foot & Ankle International, 35(1), 1-7.

Hung, M., Stuart, AR., Higgins, TF., Saltzman, CL., & Kubiak, EN. (2014). Computerized Adaptive Testing Using the PROMIS Physical Function Item Bank Reduces Test Burden With Less Ceiling Effects Compared With the Short Musculoskeletal Functional Assessment in Orthopedic Trauma Patients. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma, 28(8), 439-

Sternberg, S., Schwartz, A., Karunananthan, S., Bergman, H., & Mark Clarfield, A. (2011). The Identification of Frailty: A Systematic Literature Review. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 59(11), 2129-2138.