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It is August, 1941 London, England. Great Britain has been at war against Nazi Germany and the Italian Empire for nearly two years. The British people stand virtually alone against the greatest combined aggressive power the world has ever seen. These stalwart people have survived the fall of France, and Germany’s attempted invasion of England itself the previous year. In that autumn of 1940, their young men answered the siren call, ran to their aircraft, and flew into the clouds to face the overwhelming numbers of the German air force. Almost miraculously these few hundred men saved the British Empire, and perhaps the world, as they traded their blood for time, time for Great Britain to arm and respond to Adolf Hitler’s attempt to rule Europe. Now in August, it appeared the Germans were about to destroy the Soviet Union. The British people watched helplessly as Hitler’s Panzers roared over the western reaches of the USSR. Many English men and women wondered what was happening to their world. A religious people in those days, questions of faith came to mind, but often the answers, so easily accepted in the halcyon days of the British Empire, seemed lackluster, even inadequate in the face of so much death and destruction. The administrators of the British Broadcasting Corporation, the BBC, decided to use their airwaves to lift spirits. One of the most important topics in this endeavor was faith, the Christian faith. And so a series of radio talks was proposed, but who would give them. The Archbishop of Canterbury? No, too denominational. Britain was no longer all Anglican. The talks must not be divisive, but unifying. Surely someone could be found who spoke to all Christians, indeed to all of England - Christian or not. And so on August 6, 1941, a Wednesday as it happened, at 7:45 in the evening a new voice came clearly and strongly over the BBC. The speaker spoke of Christianity, the specific topic that evening was common decency. The title of the whole series of four talks was “Right and Wrong: A Clue to the Meaning of the Universe.” The speaker made no effort to convert anyone to Christianity that night, he merely set out to explain that there is a moral law, that human beings disobey it, and that the existence of the lawgiver is very probable. The new unfamiliar voice was that of an Oxford professor of Medieval English Literature (Geoffrey Chaucer, John Lydgate, and the rest of the boys in the band). Lewis’s chats were very well received and more were arranged. Three years later, when he finished these intermittent talks, C.S. Lewis was famous. In 1952 he edited all of his wartime monologues and published them in one volume. Those radio talks had purposefully distilled Christianity to its essence according to the insights and remarkable intelligence of one man. And so the title of the new book was meant to suggest that on its pages one could find Mere Christianity. Mere not in the sense of something light or simple, but rather at its essence, no denominational trappings, no extra baggage.

Clive Staples Lewis, affectionately known as “Jack” to his friends, was born in the winter of 1898 in unhappy Belfast of Protestant Northern Ireland. His father Albert was a successful lawyer and his mother Florence was the daughter of a Church of Ireland clergyman.
The emerald landscape of Ireland fascinated the young Lewis and deeply influenced his powerful imagination. The Lewis family lived in a large house in which stacks and piles and shelves of books had preeminence of place if not order. Lewis loved to read and the books of mythology, romance, and poetry were favorite pastimes. By the age of seven, Lewis was a solitary little boy with few friends who found most of his pleasure in books. In 1905 his older brother by two years, Warren, called Warnie, was sent off to boarding school. Jack missed Warnie and this loneliness only sent young Lewis deeper into his books.

About this time Jack had an experience that would shape much of his intellectual life. While walking in the garden one day the scent of a flowering currant bush triggered a memory of a pleasant time in a former house. The sense of longing, of desire to return to that happy moment, overwhelmed him and then it slipped away. In the coming days the experience of joy, of longing, would repeat itself with different stimuli. Ultimately it was the experience of this illusory, fleeting joy that would lead Lewis to think carefully as to why this experience had occurred and what it meant. Once the experience of joy had passed, sadness, a sense of loss, a notion that something was amiss, prevailed. These two emotions together, a fleeting joy linked to the sense of loss suggested that the world of men was not what it should be, that a more perfect world somehow lay beyond or behind this earthly existence. One familiar with Plato’s philosophy can well make the connection between the young Lewis and the Greek sage. For Plato, citing Socrates, taught that the world we experience is not the “real” world but only an inexact and changing representation of the unseen greater reality that does not change – the so-called Theory of Forms.

So the young Lewis was deeply influenced by a love books, the verdant and mysterious countryside of northern Ireland, and the sense that joy in this life was rare, much to be desired, and perhaps more attainable, more perfect in some other realm. Then tragedy further shaped the boy. In early 1908 Flora Lewis was diagnosed with stomach cancer. She died in August. She was only forty-five years old. Albert was devastated and did not respond well to the needs of his two sons. Lewis wrote, “With my mother’s death all settled happiness, all that was tranquil and reliable, disappeared from my life.”1 Two weeks after the death of his mother, Jack was sent to Wynyard boarding school in England. Understandably, given the timing of his arrival, the boy hated Wynyard; and later the man, unable to master his anger, referred to it as Belsen after the notorious Nazi concentration camp of the Second World War.

Fortunately Lewis’s period of purgatory at Wynyard lasted but two years. His father finally agreed to transfer him to Cherbourg School in the spa town of Great Malvern. While studying there Lewis recognized that he was gradually losing his Christian faith. Perhaps foremost among the reasons for this rising doubt was that scholars of the classics, the books that were the core of his studies, discounted the pagan faith of their subjects as “illusions.” Lewis wondered if Virgil’s (Roman writer of the first century BC) faith was mere self deception, what differentiated that from the faith of Christians recent and ancient? Eventually he reasoned that religion was a natural development, “a kind of endemic nonsense into which humanity tended to blunder.”2 After graduating from Cherbourg School, Lewis studied under William Kirkpatrick who had also tutored Warnie for Sandhurst, England’s military academy.
It was September 1914 when Lewis arrived at Great Bookham to study under Kirkpatrick. That summer the world changed. The assassination of the heir to the throne of the Austro-Hungarian Empire Franz Ferdinand and his wife Sophie was the match that lit the tinderbox of the European alliance systems. The Great War, now known as World War One, began in August. Great Britain and France stood shoulder to shoulder against the invading horde of Germans on the fields of northeastern France.

It did not take long for Kirkpatrick to begin molding the bright mind of the young Lewis. The old schoolmaster met him at the train station the day he arrived. As they walked to Kirkpatrick’s home, Lewis observed that the scenery was surprisingly wild. Kirkpatrick, perhaps instinctively, launched into his Socratic teaching method. What did Lewis mean buy “wildness”? Why would he have already formed an expectation that he should be surprised? Had he read books on the topic? Lewis, mildly chagrined, conceded he had no basis for his view. Kirkpatrick then intoned that the young man should have formed no opinion, having no facts. Within hours of his arrival at Great Bookham, Kirkpatrick had Lewis studying Greek, then came Latin and eventually German and Italian. Lewis flourished under Kirkpatrick’s stern tutelage. Unfortunately, Kirkpatrick’s own atheism only confirmed Lewis’s new disdain for the Christian faith. The one aspect of his psychological makeup that disturbed his atheism was the aforementioned concept of joy. Where did this desire for joy come from? What rational source gave it life and purpose? This wonderful emotion came to him full force while reading George MacDonald’s novel *Phantastes*. MacDonald’s enchanting fantasy stirred Lewis mind as nothing else had. Reading *Phantastes* had provided him a wonderful “Bright Shadow” whose siren song beckoned from some distant place, “That night,” Lewis wrote, “my imagination was in a certain sense baptized.”

By 1916 the Great War was well into its second year of carnage. Lewis realized that serving in the British military, however reluctantly, was probably inevitable. He would be eighteen in November. Meanwhile, his intellectual gifts had made an impression on Kirpatrick, and young Lewis had decided that Oxford was the place that would enable the full maturation of his talents and interests. University College at Oxford offered a scholarship. But the war situation intervened. No eligible student could attend Oxford if he qualified to serve in the armed forces. The one caveat was to enroll in Oxford University’s Officer Training Corps. Lewis did so enroll in April of 1917. But it would be misleading to suggest his studies began at that time. His actual purpose was to matriculate through the officer training course. Indeed, his time in the program lasted but a few days. In early May, he was transferred to E Company, No. 4 Officer Cadet Battalion, happily stationed at Keble College, Oxford.

In E Company, the socially reticent Lewis formed important albeit brief friendships with four other young men. Lewis wrote, “I remember five of us at Keble, and I am the only survivor.” The most important of the four was Andrew Moore whose death permanently impacted Jack’s life. Indeed, before their sojourn to France and deployment on the front lines, Moore and Lewis made a pact that should one of them be killed and the other survive, the latter would look after the family of the fallen. And even before young Moore’s death, Jack had formed an unusual attachment to his friend’s forty-five year old mother, Mrs. Jane Moore. Mrs. Moore had recently separated from her husband.
In November 1917, 2nd Lieutenant C.S. Lewis crossed the English Channel to France and disembarked at Le Havre in Normandy. He was posted to the 1st Somerset Light Infantry. That regiment was ensconced in the trenches east of the French town of Arras near the Belgian border. Early in the morning of April 14, 1917, the Somerset LI launched itself against the German held village of Riez du Vinage. The assault went well, but took most of the day. By evening Lewis could count about sixty German prisoners stumbling from their hovels with their hands above their heads. Apparently no one amongst the Somersets anticipated the almost predictable German counter attack. Both in this war and the next, the Germans delighted counterattacking a recently lost position. Now German guns shelled the village, and an infantry attack rapidly followed. Lewis was standing near sergeant Harry Ayres when the big shells exploded. Ayres went down mortally wounded and Lewis too was hit. He was evacuated to a Red Cross hospital near Etaples. For C.S. Lewis the war was over; but the experience had changed his life.

He was sent home to England to convalesce at London’s Endsleigh Palace Hospital in May 1918. Mrs. Moore moved to London to be near him. Their relationship, confirmed by the pact that Lewis had made with Andrew Moore, now blossomed into something uniquely romantic and psychologically complex. In January 1919, Jack returned to Oxford and the studies the Great War had so abruptly interrupted.

Lewis loved Oxford and all that it stood for. Somewhat romantically, he saw the great university’s home as a “Beautiful city that encouraged and affirmed the empires of the mind.” Lewis’s Oxford was,

A Clean, sweet city lulled by ancient streams,
A place of vision and of loosening chains,
A refuge of the elect, a tower of dreams.

And this place of fantasy, of redemption, and learning was best understood and even preserved through a study of the classics, the source spring of the river of western civilization, the writings of ancient Greece and Rome. For Lewis and his colleagues, along with most of England’s ruling elite, this kind of education, known as the Literae Humaniores, was the true jewel of Victorian England’s crown. The Latin title may be translated “more humane letters.” The phrase suggests an educational philosophy that opens the student’s mind, civilizes his world view, enlarges his perspective, and inculcates an appreciation for the past, and by implication, other cultures and places. In short, it purposes a humanist view of life and service to one’s fellow man. This education was remarkable in its ability to form a common world view for Britain’s political and commercial elite. And though one may have supposed it would lead to anti-Christian and anti-imperial philosophies and policies, it had the opposite effect. Indeed, Christianity and the Literae Humaniores in Great Britain were the twin pillars of empire. An Oxford education then, was not preparation for a job, it was preparation for a life worth living, and a future role in ensuring England’s survival and prosperity.

Lewis’ undergraduate career was exemplary, encouraging his ambition to become an Oxford Don. In 1921 he won the distinguished Chancellor’s Essay prize, the award for the best undergraduate English essay. Lewis graduated the next year with a “first” in his field, classical literature. However, there were no job prospects and his adviser,
philosophy professor Edgar Carritt, suggested he study another year in English literature thus making him more marketable. Achieving a first in a new field in one year was a formidable task. But Jack accomplished the goal though he exhausted himself in the process. Through his entire academic sojourn, Mrs. Moore made herself the “lodestar of his (Jack) family life.”

Lewis’s study of English literature led to one of the foundations of his philosophical world view. At Oxford, the dons viewed English literature through the prism of historical, textual and philological (Philology: the study of language in written sources) questions. At Cambridge, the faculty preferred a more theoretical treatment, subjecting texts to scientific literary criticism. Lewis fiercely maintained the former view throughout his life and his classic *Preface to Paradise Lost* on John Milton’s great allegorical poem is a good example of his thought. About the same time, new friend Owen Barfield compelled Lewis to make another momentous change in his basic philosophy. It is fair to say that educated twentieth century people tend to believe that human beings of their time have an innately superior view of life relative to those of bygone ages. Lewis later called this position “chronological snobbery.” As important was Lewis’s acceptance of the modern scientific view that the physical universe, as understood through human senses, was the most basic form of reality. The problem with the latter point of view is that it does not offer solutions to essential human questions such as what constitutes morality, beauty, or most importantly for Lewis, the emotion of joy. And once the modern inquisitor realizes the significance of these questions, and the fact that the ancients did indeed attempt to address these mysteries, the concept of chronological superiority just becomes a hollow chronological snobbery as Lewis eventually called it.

All of this meant that Lewis was losing his atheism in the same way he had lost his Christian faith – reasoning based on philosophy and history. Lewis struggled manfully for years to hold god at bay.

Meanwhile, he finished his studies in English literature in 1923 with a hard earned first. His aggregate academic record now included a first in Classical Moderations in 1920, a first in Literae Humaniores in 1922, and the aforementioned first in English Literature. Thus Lewis had the distinction of making a rare triple first along with the Chancellor’s prize. His academic future should have been assured, but England’s postwar economic disturbances extended to the ivory towers of Oxford. Academic openings were rare. He spent 1924-1925 teaching philosophy to undergraduates at University College. That spring, Magdalen College created a Tutorship and Fellowship in English Language and Literature. Lewis, much to his relief, won the appointment. Near the end of his first year at Magdalen he met J.R.R. Tolkien who was Rawlinson and Bosworth Professor of Anglo-Saxon at Oxford. Within a few months, Lewis was attending Tolkien’s Kolbitar, a loosely formed club for professors who enjoyed reading Icelandic sagas in their original languages. The friendship thus formed would deeply influence and reward both men, not to mention millions of English reading men, women, and children.

At least as early as the autumn of 1920, Lewis mused “I have had to postulate some sort of God as the least objectionable theory: but of course we know [italics mine] nothing.” But the citadel of Lewis’s mind did not fall easily to the entreaties of an absolute being. The “least objectionable theory” was not faith. It took years for God’s
pursuit of C.S. Lewis to bear fruit. Indeed, Lewis insists he did not seek God, but found that he could not avoid Him.16

Given Lewis’s deeply rational mode of thought, it is reasonable to search for an equation that led him to faith in God. One will search in vain. It is not one or two proofs leading to God, but rather the weight of all of his meditations on philosophy, history, and even philology that inexorably cost him his atheism, or, as he put it, his realistic world view.17 In Surprised by Joy he explained his change of mind as losing a chess match with the absolute (God). In retrospect he mused, “… a young atheist cannot guard his faith to carefully.”18 Lewis summarized his final defeat as having taken but four masterful moves on the chessboard of his mind, but of course those four moves had been in process most of his life.

Most compelling of the thoughts that presaged his final defeat, was his realization that the writers he admired most were either Christian or professed a sincere faith in an absolute God. Amongst the ancients these writers included Plato and Virgil, and in the early modern period John Milton and John Donne, more recently, and perhaps most importantly, George McDonald along with G.K. Chesterton and Herbert Brown. Then too Neville Coghill, a fellow student, added to this chorus as did his colleague J.R.R. Tolkien. Of the influence of these men and other writers Lewis wrote, “The most religious were clearly those on whom I could really feed. On the other hand, those writers who did not suffer from religion and with whom in theory my sympathy ought to have been complete – Shaw, Wells, and Mill and Gibbon and Voltaire – all seemed a little thin.”19

Lewis, now balancing precariously on the threshold of faith, was open to something that would restore his equilibrium at any cost. God’s first of the final four moves was to rekindle Lewis’s sense of longing, the anticipation of joy, through reading Euripides’ Hippolytus. This deep desire could not be explained in any rationalist philosophy; Lewis wrote, “…the long inhibition was over, the dry desert lay behind, I was off once more into the land of longing, my heart at once broken and exalted as it had never been since the old days at Bookham.”20

The second move was learning to differentiate between the emotion of joy and the object of one’s joy. He wrote the “one essential property” of an emotion was attention to the object of that emotion such as a landscape, a woman, or a cathedral. But when one thinks about the joy one is experiencing, one ceases to attend to the object of that joy. Lewis, wrote, “In other words the enjoyment and the contemplation of our inner activities (thoughts) are incompatible. You cannot hope and think about hoping at the same moment.” He continues, “The surest way of spoiling a pleasure was to start examining your satisfaction.”21 So Lewis eventually realized that the essential aspect of joy was not an event of his own thoughts to be contemplated, but the object to which those thoughts were directed. Now he asked himself what is desired?22

So in summary to this point, realism could not explain the existence of the emotion of joy, and the consideration of joy ended joy. Therefore, joy was an object outside himself. But what was it and whence did it come? Sadly, most people fail to differentiate between the emotion of joy and the object of that emotion. Fewer still find the source of perfect joy.

The third move, seemingly innocent at the time, was to link the first two moves: his new understanding of the proper object of joy – God, now coupled with his
“idealistic” philosophy that allows for an unseen god. As Lewis said, “I saw that Joy would fit in.”

Lewis observed that all human beings have their root “in the absolute (god).” And god, of course, is the “utter reality. And that is why we experience joy: we yearn for that unity [with god] which we can never reach except by ceasing to be the separate phenomenal beings called we. Joy was not a deception. Its visitations were the moments of clearest consciousness [of god] we had.”

This combining of his “desire-life” with his god-based philosophy would inexorably lead him to checkmate. More than any other single element of thought was his inability to account for the emotion of joy without reference to god.

So finally his mental citadel gave way and he accepted, and then asserted, the position that there must be an omniscient and omnipotent god. Later in life Lewis saw that in moving away from atheism, he had accepted what he thought a very erudite and rational view of god and our earthly existence: there was a god behind a timeless and therefore deathless life; for lesser minds it was fine to believe in a literal life after death as it was closer to the truth than atheists ever moved. But the implications of this view did not rest easily with his high view of so many writers who had gone before. He eventually asked himself could it really be that “I and most other undergraduates could master without extraordinary pains would have been too hard for Plato, Dante, Hooker, and Pascal?”

The edifice of his chronological snobbery was crumbling.

There was one move left. But before understanding that step, Lewis came to believe that he must act on the knowledge of god, or spirit, as he called his absolute being. He decided that an effort at living a virtuous life must be made. A lesser mind may have succeeded at deceiving himself that living a self-defined “virtuous life” with a vague notion of god would suffice. But that would merely mean following in the footsteps those the Apostle Paul so devastatingly chastizes in Romans I: 21-24 Lewis, with superb powers of introspection, was not so deceived. He wrote,

For the first time I examined myself with a seriously practical purpose. And there I found what appalled me; a zoo of lusts, a bedlam of ambitions, a nursery of fears, a harem of fondled hatreds. My name was legion. Of course I could do nothing [to overcome these faults] — I could not last one hour without recourse to what I called Spirit. But the fine philosophical distinction between this and what ordinary people call “prayer to God” breaks down as soon as you start doing it in earnest. It became patently absurd to go on thinking of “Spirit” as either ignorant of, or passive to, my approaches. Even if my own philosophy were true, how could the initiative lie on my side?

In other words, how could a mortal being approach, of his own volition, the absolute god without that God’s knowing? And that God, being omniscient, of course knew of the lowly creature’s desire. And could that omnipotent God not hide himself from his creation? Of course he could, but clearly chose not to do so. Wherein then, Lewis asked, lay the initiative for a relationship? Clearly not with the creature, but with the absolute god came the inescapable answer. This is why Lewis wrote, “You must picture me alone in that room at Magdalen, night after night, feeling the steady unrelenting approach of Him whom I so earnestly desired not to meet. That which I greatly feared had at last come upon me. I gave in and admitted that [the Judeo-Christian]
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God was God, and knelt and prayed: perhaps, that night, the most dejected and reluctant convert in all England.”

In the 1930s, new Christian C.S. Lewis developed a deep understanding of the Christian faith and pursued recognition in his academic field of English literature. In both endeavors he met with significant success. His Allegory of Love: A Study in Medieval Tradition (1936) garnered him critical acclaim, and placed him in the front rank of such scholars. Lewis also wrote on Christian themes. In 1933 he published The Pilgrim’s Regress, an allegory (a work in which the characters and events are symbols of some other aspect of life such as politics or religion) of Lewis’ own journey from atheist to Christian in which he explores all of the thoughts and beliefs of his conversion described above. Lewis described his work as a sort of updating of John Bunyan’s Pilgrim’s Progress. He also produced The Problem of Pain in 1940, an attempt to explain from the Christian perspective why pain exists. Meanwhile he helped inspire his friend J.R.R Tolkien to complete The Hobbit (1937).

And so it was in the late summer of 1941, as Britain stood alone in the west and Middle East against Hitler’s hordes and Mussolini’s minions, the BBC, in an effort to bring spiritual solace and hope to the English people, asked the relatively unknown Oxford don C.S. Lewis to give it a go. The book that came from those short radio talks is a wide-ranging look at the reasons for Christian faith, and a description of what faith is – and is not. After the war, Lewis wrote many other books on Christianity. Some of the most important were The Screwtape Letters, Surprised by Joy, and A Grief Observed. Not least of course was his children’s fantasy The Chronicles of Narnia.

C.S. Lewis along with American evangelist Billy Graham, are probably the two most important Twentieth century Christians in the ecumenical (unifying of the people of Christ) movement. Both men advocated a Christian theology that was based on the Bible, crossed sectarian lines, and brought Christians together on the basis of common beliefs, rather than dividing them over minutiae. C.S. Lewis came reluctantly to Christian faith, but not to his Christian work. He was incredibly productive for the Kingdom of Christ up until his health failed him. He died on November 22, 1963, the same day American president John F. Kennedy was assassinated.

Dr. Kerry E. Irish
Professor of American History
George Fox University
Newberg, Oregon
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