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Abstract

Problem solving skills have been used to effectively improve behavioral, psychological, and social functioning in a number of clinical and nonclinical settings, but there is need for additional research in cross-cultural settings. Dissemination research focuses on increasing our knowledge on how to effectively deliver evidence-based health care interventions to diverse communities. In this study, 23 Nicaraguan females, ages 9-19, from a girls’ home in Managua, Nicaragua received problem solving skills training, and a token economy system was implemented to measure behavior change. A significant improvement was found in the girls’ problem solving ability, externalizing problems, conduct problems, and adaptive skills. Additionally, staff reported that they were satisfied with the intervention and confident in their ability to continue assessing problem solving skills.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Problem solving therapy is effective for a wide range of individuals with diverse psychological, behavioral, and health problems. A number of studies have shown that problem solving ability mediates the relationship between daily stressful events and emotional well-being, depression, anxiety, and internalizing and externalizing symptoms (D’Zurilla & Nezu, 2010). Problem solving therapies have been applied within a variety of clinical and nonclinical settings, including individual, family, and group therapy, primary care settings, and academic settings (Dobson, 2010). However, there are many communities in the world that are not receiving training on problem solving that would benefit from these therapies.

Leaders in research and clinical practice note that there continues to be an enormous gap between evidence-based interventions for health care and what is currently practiced in community settings. Dissemination research focuses on increasing our knowledge on how to effectively deliver evidence-based health care interventions to diverse communities (National Institute of Health [NIH], 2014).

Implementation of these evidence-based interventions is particularly complex, especially when working with a culturally or linguistically diverse population. Therefore, dissemination research highlights the importance of the relationship between the organization and the researcher. Since problem-solving therapy is effective for a wide range of individuals, it is unlikely that any single standardized manual would be equally appropriate for everyone. The
problem solving therapeutic model used depends on the particular therapeutic goals, relevant problematic situations, and the problem-solving strengths and weaknesses of the specific participants (D’Zurilla & Nezu, 2010).

This research project involved implementing evidence-based problem solving skills training within a specific marginalized community in Managua, Nicaragua. This community requested problem solving skills training and a token economy system in order to address behavioral concerns in a group of children and adolescents.

**Problem Solving**

**Definition of problem solving.** Problem solving is defined as an individual’s ability to identify and define problems, generate alternative solutions, select and implement a solution, and evaluate the outcome (Raftery, Steinke, & Nickerson, 2010). Problem solving skills can be applied in personal, interpersonal, and group situations. Interpersonal problem solving requires a person to define the interpersonal problem, generate possible solutions, and make a logical choice among solutions to produce a desirable outcome (Erozkan, 2013).

**Effect of problem solving skills.** Research has demonstrated the importance of problem solving skills for positive life outcomes, such as reduced stress, increased adaptation, acquiring and maintaining relationships with peers, and academic performance (Raftery et al., 2010). Problem solving ability is also positively related to adaptive situational coping strategies, behavioral competence, social skills, job performance, positive psychological functioning, positive affectivity, and self-esteem (D’Zurilla & Nezu, 2010). A person’s capacity to demonstrate interpersonal problem solving skills is important for psychological adjustment due to its influences on adaptive functioning in stressful situations. Interpersonal problem solving
skills also affect self-efficacy expectations. Self-efficacy is defined as a person’s belief in their ability to perform a particular action or course of action. An individual’s thoughts, emotions, and actions before and during a particular event are influenced by their judgment of their abilities. As a result, people using more effective problem solving methods generally have higher social self-efficacy, because they have more confidence in their ability to handle stressful situations (Erozkan, 2013).

In contrast, problem-solving deficits have been associated with general psychological distress, suicidal ideation, anxiety, substance abuse and addictions, severe psychopathology (e.g., schizophrenia), health-related distress, and health-compromising behaviors (D’Zurilla & Nezu, 2010). In schools, students with no problem solving behaviors display avoidance and have a low level of social self-efficacy (Erozkan, 2013). Several research studies in the United States and other countries have established a connection between poor problem solving skills and depressive symptoms (Dixon, 2000; Erdur-Baker, 2009; Heppner, Witty, & Dixon, 2004; Stewart, Mazurka, Bond, Wynne-Edwards, & Harkness, 2013). Rumination is considered to be one of the essential personality traits that maintains and exacerbates depressed mood, and ruminators are shown to have poorer problem solving skills (Stewart et al., 2013). Problem solving deficits are also common among children and adolescents who display aggressive behavior and other conduct problems (Raftery et al., 2010). Youth with aggressive behavior have been found to generate fewer alternative solutions to problems (Lochman & Dodge 1994), evaluate aggressive alternatives less negatively (Orobio de Castro, Merk, & Koops, 2005), and enact more aggressive behavioral responses than nonaggressive comparison groups (Dodge & Frame, 1982).
Problem solving interventions. Research on problem solving supports the use of interventions that teach problem-solving skills in order to help children and adolescents negotiate personal, interpersonal, and group life stressors. Problem solving therapies teach the individual how to identify and define problems, form alternative solutions, choose and execute a solution, and evaluate the outcome (Dobson, 2010). Problem solving therapies have been shown to reduce depression and anxiety-related symptoms (Cape, Whittington, Buszewicz, Wallace, & Underwood, 2010; Malouff, Thorsteinsson, & Schutte, 2007). Additionally, cognitive problem solving skills training approaches have been found to significantly reduce aggression and antisocial behavior (Larson & Lochman, 2002). One program used to improve problem solving skills is the Coping Power Program.

Lochman and Wells (1996) developed Coping Power as a prevention program for later elementary students. It uses the P.I.C.C. model as a step-by-step method for how to solve problems: P.I. Problem Identification, C. Identify Choices, and C. Choose the best solution. The foundation for Coping Power Program is based on a contextual social-cognitive model of risk for disruptive behavior problems. It recognizes that children with disruptive behaviors often have less developed cognitive skills and poorer social problem solving skills. These children may also have overstressed parents with less skillful parenting behaviors, come from socioeconomically disadvantaged neighborhoods, and attend schools with high densities of similarly high-risk children (Harr, Horn-Johnson, Williams., Jones, & Riley, 2013). Coping Power program has produced significant improvements in children’s’ disruptive behavior problems across a number of clinical trials (Cabiya et al., 2008; Lochman, Boxmeyer, Powell, Roth, & Windle, 2006; Lochman et al., 2001; Lochman & Wells, 2002, 2003, 2004; Peterson, Hamilton, & Russell,
As a program aimed to improve behavior skills in children, Coping Power could be an effective program to use in a youth residential setting.

Residential Treatment Facilities and Token Economies

Residential treatment facilities are increasingly using behavioral interventions to help at-risk youth learn the process of problem solving (Raftery et al., 2010). Workers in residential treatment settings frequently pair interventions with a token economy system to create a baseline for expectations and provide consistent consequences for behavior (Corder, 1994). Token economies are behavioral reinforcement systems used to increase the frequency of adaptive or desirable behaviors, such as problem solving (Mather & Jaffe, 2002). In these systems, targeted behaviors are identified and personal reinforcers are established. Youth are rewarded for demonstrating problem solving behavior in the form of privileges and/or check marks on a weekly behavior chart which monitors their progress throughout the week (Mather & Jaffe, 2002). Keeping a daily or weekly record of behavioral progress using a chart may have a variety of benefits for both the individual and the workers. The use of this visual aid may enhance the individual’s motivation to make good choices and help workers recognize progress (Fisher, Piazza, & Roane, 2011). While the use of token economies has been shown to facilitate client change and improve program functioning in numerous settings (LePage et al., 2003), there is need for research aimed at determining whether token economies are effective behavioral interventions for youth in Latin America, specifically Nicaragua.

Cultural Adaptation for At-Risk Community

Literature supports the conclusion that problem solving therapies and token economies have been used to effectively treat youth in the United States. Research on problem solving
therapies suggests that therapists be sensitive to the diversity markers within each specific group in order to address the problem areas and goals relevant to that community. Culture is a diversity marker that therapists should consider, and cultural adaptation of evidence-based therapies is important for the success of the intervention. One model used for the culturally sensitive dissemination of evidence-based practices is Participatory Action Research (PAR). The purpose of PAR is to assist a community of people to define pertinent issues and design and implement a plan of action. It allows the subjects of study to have a voice in the research process for the benefit of the community. Participatory Action Research is outlined in several sources, including Creswell, Hanson, Plano Clark, and Morales (2007).

Present Study

This research is part of on-going participatory action research (PAR) conducted with a population of at-risk female children and adolescents in Managua, Nicaragua. The major purpose of this research is to improve adaptive functioning in this community. Specifically, the area that the organization noted as a significant need was problem solving skills (G. Sequiera & W. Sequiera, personal communication, November 6, 2011).

The needs of the community were addressed by conducting problem-solving group training with the girls and staff using the PICC model, monitoring the girls’ progress through weekly behavior charts, and providing daily and weekly privileges to girls who demonstrated problem-solving skills. Through implementing this behavioral intervention, the expectations were:

1. Positive behavior change as evidenced by higher scores on the weekly behavior chart.
2. A decrease in externalizing problems, as evidenced by the difference on the pre and post scores of the Behavioral Assessment System for Children, 2nd edition (BASC-2).

3. Conduct problems will decrease as evidenced by pre and post scores on the BASC-2.

4. Functional communication scores and social skills scores will increase as evidenced by pre and post scores on the BASC-2.

5. Staff will score high (4 or 5 on a scale of 1 to 5) on each of the following items, which will indicate that staff is satisfied with the program and have the ability to implement and continue it: (a) How helpful is the behavior chart? (b) Do you feel comfortable continuing the behavior chart on your own? (c) How helpful was the problem-solving group with the girls? (d) Do you notice any changes in the girls’ ability to solve conflicts? (e) How helpful was the behavior training?
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Methods

Participants

Participants were 23 Nicaraguan females, ages 9-19 ($M = 14.39$), who were relocated from the city dump to a girls’ home in Managua, Nicaragua. Participants ranged from first to tenth grade ($M = 6.23$, $N = 22$). Their religion was identified as either Evangelical or Christian, and two girls left the item blank. Participants reported the number of people living in their home in La Chureca ranged from two to eleven ($M = 7.52$, $N = 23$), and their number of siblings ranged from one to ten ($M = 5.18$, $N = 22$); one girl reported, “I do not know how many.” Twelve girls reported their parents were separated, seven said their parents were married, two girls (sisters) had parents that died of disease, one reported her parents were divorced, and one reported her parents were single. Length of time spent at the girls’ home ranged from one to four years; five girls had been there for one year, six were there for two years, eight were there for three years, and four girls were there for four years. The participants’ primary language was Spanish.

Participants also included 11 staff members: three house mothers, two program directors, and six missionaries. The housemothers are single Nicaraguan women who are in charge of one of the three houses on the property. The directors of the program are a married couple from Nicaragua. There are two single women and two married couples that are missionaries from the United States.
**Sampling procedures.** Participants were selected from a convenience sample because they were residents or staff at the girls’ home in Managua, Nicaragua. All of the girls and staff at the home participated in the intervention. The intervention was conducted and all of the data was collected at the girls’ home. De-identification of the data insured the confidentiality and security of the data. An informed consent document was signed by all of the participants. The research was approved by the Internal Review Board at George Fox University.

**Measures**

**Behavioral Assessment System for Children, Second Edition, Parent Rating Scales-Children & Parent Rating Scales-Adolescents (BASC 2, PRS-C, & PRS-A).** The BASC-2 is an assessment tool for evaluation, differential diagnosis, and treatment planning (Reynolds & Kamphaus, 2004). It takes approximately 10 to 30 minutes to complete and is designed for use with parents of children and adolescents. The PRS-C has 160 items, and the PRS-A has 150 items. Psychometric properties are considered strong. For the BASC-2, PRS-C, and PRS-A forms, internal consistency reliability coefficients for the BSI and other major composite scores ranged from .90 to .95, while the alpha coefficients for the individual scales ranged from .72 to .88. The Spanish version of this instrument was used.

**The coping power program (CPP).** The CPP is a school-based anger coping program originally developed for at-risk youths to help prevent future substance abuse (Lochman & Wells, 2002). The problem solving portion of the CPP that utilizes the PICC model was translated into Spanish and modified for use with this specific population. Participants received training on the PICC model using various learning methods, including lecture, group discussion, video, and role-play. A masters level clinical psychology student facilitated the training.
Token economy components.

Weekly behavior chart. The intervention was assessed through examination of a weekly behavioral chart at each of the three houses. Residents received a check mark each day that they demonstrated use of problem solving skills. Increases in recorded check marks were used to determine the effectiveness of the intervention.

Daily privileges list. This list was generated by all three housemothers and includes seven privileges. Girls who obtained a check mark the previous day were allowed to select one privilege from this list for use the next day. This list was in Spanish. Examples of daily privileges included thirty minutes of extra television time or sleeping in an extra thirty minutes in the morning.

Weekly privileges list. This list was generated by all three housemothers and includes twelve privileges. Girls who obtained five out of seven check marks for the week were allowed to select one privilege from this list for use the next day. This list was in Spanish. Examples of weekly privileges included using the computer for an hour or visiting a staff member’s house.

Short demographic questionnaire. Demographic data was collected on each participant. This data included name, age, sex, grade in school, ethnicity, religion, number of brothers, number of sisters, birth order, parental status, and number of years residing at the girls’ home. This questionnaire was in Spanish.

Satisfaction survey. This survey, developed by the researcher, consisted of 5 items and utilizes a 5-point likert scale to evaluate staff members’ level of satisfaction with the behavioral intervention. It also assesses confidence in their ability to continue the intervention on their own.
**Research design.** All of the girls at the home were already separated, based on age and number of years in the home, into three separate houses. Each house received separate problem solving skill trainings in order to address problems relevant to participants in that house. The only difference between trainings was the sample problem used by the researcher.

**Procedure**

See Appendix A for protocol

1. Training Staff: Overview explanation of model including problem solving, token economy, & weekly behavior chart.

   Prior to conducting problem solving skills training, the researcher held group meetings with the girls’ home staff. These staff meetings involved explaining problem solving, the process of the behavioral intervention, and the tools used for behavior change.

2. Application to community: Identified specific problem behaviors & developed intervention tools.

   Members of staff generated a list of daily and weekly rewards for girls who demonstrate problem-solving behavior. The housemothers identified problem behaviors for each of their houses, and short training videos were created displaying these problems.

3. Pre-Intervention Assessment

   All 23 female residents of the girls’ home completed the informed consent forms, BASC-2 self-report forms, and demographic information. Test completion was overseen by a masters level clinical psychology student, and a Spanish interpreter and staff members were present to address questions. The housemothers completed informed consent forms and BASC-2 parent-report forms on each girl in their house. This initial
testing using the BASC-2 parent-report and self-report forms was used to obtain a baseline for externalizing problems, conduct problems, functional communication, and social skills.

4. Problem Solving Skills Training

Problem solving skills trainings were conducted with each house separately. Each house watched a short video displaying a problem and went through the PICC model to solve that problem. Each training session lasted approximately 1.5 hours. This process was repeated twice for each house and the training process occurred for one week.

5. Daily Meetings With Houses Using Weekly Behavior Chart

The girls’ progress demonstrating problem solving skills was monitored and recorded daily using the weekly behavior chart. Daily and weekly rewards were distributed immediately after problem solving skills were assessed. During the second week, the researcher observed the housemothers facilitating the daily meetings and answered questions as needed. The researcher provided feedback to the housemothers at the end of these meetings.

6. Post-Intervention Assessment

At the end of the third week, the housemothers completed the BASC-2 parent-report form for each of their eight girls, and all of the girls completed the BASC-2 self-report form. It took about one hour for all the girls to complete their self-report forms, and it took about two days for the housemothers to complete their parent-report forms. All staff completed a 15-minute satisfaction survey to evaluate the intervention.
Data Analysis

The research design involved the use of pre and post measures to ascertain changes in the behavior of the girls that occurred as a result of the program implementation. The scores from the instruments were entered into SPSS and changes were analyzed using paired samples $t$-tests to determine significance.
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Results

Descriptive statistics including means and standard deviations were computed for each of the measures completed by the sample (see Table 1).

Table 1

*Comparison of Behavioral Variables Pre to Post Intervention*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pre-Test</th>
<th>Post-Test</th>
<th>t-test</th>
<th>Cohen’s d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior Chart</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalizing Probs.</td>
<td>56.41</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>11.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Problems</td>
<td>55.18</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>11.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Comm.</td>
<td>50.05</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>51.41</td>
<td>14.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Skills</td>
<td>38.96</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>40.65</td>
<td>11.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive Skills</td>
<td>44.40</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>46.59</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in the following variables resulted from the implementation of a three-week behavioral intervention, which involved teaching problem solving skills to the female children and adolescent participants and monitoring and rewarding their progress using a token economy system.
Hypothesis 1

The initial hypothesis postulated that there would be positive behavior change as evidenced by higher scores on the weekly behavior chart over the course of the two-week intervention. A significant improvement was found in the girls’ problem solving ability as was evidenced by a statistically significant change in a positive direction from week one ($M = 4.39$, $SD = 0.99$) to week two ($M = 6.30$, $SD = 0.93$) on the weekly behavioral chart, $t(22) = -7.63$, $p = .001$.

Hypothesis 2

The second hypothesis stated that there would be a decrease in Externalizing Problems as evidenced by the difference on the pre and post scores of the Behavioral Assessment System for Children, 2nd edition, parent-report form (BASC-2, PRS). A significant reduction was found in the girls’ Externalizing Problems from pre test ($M = 56.41$, $SD = 10.83$) to post test ($M = 51.0$, $SD = 11.04$) scores, $t(21) = 4.242$, $p = .001$.

Hypothesis 3

The third hypothesis stated that there would be a decrease in Conduct Problems as evidenced by pre and post scores on the BASC-2, parent form. A significant reduction was found in Conduct Problems from pre test ($M = 55.18$, $SD = 10.47$) to post test ($M = 51.36$, $SD = 11.15$) scores, $t(21) = 3.434$, $p = .002$.

Hypothesis 4

The fourth hypothesis stated that functional communication scores and social skill scores would increase as evidenced by pre and post scores on the BASC-2, parent-report form. There was no significant change found in functional communication from pre test ($M = 50.05$, $SD =$...
16.06) to post test \((M = 51.41, SD = 14.16)\) scores, \(t(21) = -1.14, p = .267\), or social skills from pre test \((M = 38.96, SD = 11.15)\) to post test \((M = 40.65, SD = 11.86)\) scores, \(t(21) = -1.042, p = .309\). However, overall scores for Adaptive Skills improved significantly from pre test \((M = 44.50, SD = 11.82)\) to post test \((M = 46.59, SD = 12.10)\) scores, \(t(21) = -2.110, p = .047\). The Adaptive Skills domain includes scores from functional communication and social skills subdomains, as well as adaptability, leadership, and activities of daily living subdomains. The Adaptive Skills domain is a good general measure of the overall strengths of the individual.

While none of the other subdomains showed significant differences as a result of the intervention, adaptability did show significant improvement, \(t(21) = -2.147, p = .043\). The adaptability subdomain on the BASC-2 measures the individual’s ability to adapt to changes in the environment.

**Hypothesis 5**

The fifth hypothesis stated that staff will score high (4 or 5 on a scale of 1 to 5) on each of the following items, which will indicate that staff is satisfied with the program and have the ability to implement and continue it. (a) How helpful is the behavior chart? (b) Do you feel comfortable continuing the behavior chart on your own? (c) How helpful was the problem-solving group with the girls? (d) Do you notice any changes in the girls’ ability to solve conflicts? (e) How helpful was the behavior training? Results showed that all but two staff members gave high scores (4 or 5) on all satisfaction survey items. Of those two staff members, one participant circled 3 on item (d) and the other participant circled 3 on item (b). There were no scores of 1 or 2 on any of the items. This indicated that staff members were satisfied with the
program, viewed it as helpful, and the majority of staff members were confident in their ability to continue it.
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Discussion

This study was designed to teach problem solving skills to a marginalized community of female children and adolescents at a girl’s home in Managua, Nicaragua. A token economy system was developed to motivate and monitor behavior change as a result of the intervention. The hypotheses were that, as a direct result of this problem solving intervention,

1. Problem solving behaviors would increase from week one to week two on the weekly behavior chart.

2. Externalizing problems would decrease, (3) conduct problems would decrease.

3. Functional communication scores and social skill scores would increase, and

4. Staff will score high (4 or 5 on a scale of 1 to 5) on each of the following items: (a) How helpful is the behavior chart? (b) Do you feel comfortable continuing the behavior chart on your own? (c) How helpful was the problem-solving group with the girls? (d) Do you notice any changes in the girls’ ability to solve conflicts? (e) How helpful was the behavior training?

The majority of these hypotheses were supported, while others were not observed. The following discussion will provide brief explanations of each hypothesis, implications, limitations of the study, and concluding statements.
Understanding Problem Solving Skills

Findings from this study revealed a significant change in the girls’ problem solving ability as evidenced by higher scores on the weekly behavior chart over the course of the two weeks that behaviors were recorded. This suggests that the problem solving training was effective in teaching the girls problem solving skills. The various evidence-based teaching methods that were applied, including didactic instruction, coaching, modeling, rehearsal, feedback, and positive reinforcement, very likely contributed to the success of the training. These teaching methods are listed as helpful techniques for teaching problem solving skills to various populations (D’Zurilla & Nezu, 2010). Additionally, the involvement of the Nicaraguan staff members in the creation of the training videos helped tailor this problem solving intervention to address issues relevant to the community. The literature suggests that clinicians individually tailor problem solving therapies in order to address the specific goals, problem situations, and strengths and weaknesses of the participants (D’Zurilla & Nezu, 2010). Cultural sensitivity in the application of intervention techniques likely contributed to the girls’ understanding of problem solving and increase in problem solving behaviors throughout the intervention.

Effectiveness of Token Economy

The significant change in the girls’ problem solving ability also suggests that the token economy system motivated the girls to demonstrate the problem solving skills they learned in their training. The effectiveness of the token economy system was likely due to staff involvement in the formation of daily and weekly rewards. Additional opportunities to engage in these enjoyable activities properly incentivized the girls to change their behavior (Nichols, 2010). This concept, called Premack’s principle, states that more probable behaviors will reinforce less
probable behaviors. Research clearly shows that token economy programs have been used successfully in homes, prisons, recreational settings, educational settings, hospitals, businesses, and industry (Boerke & Reitman, 2011). This study also confirms that token economies can be used effectively in cross-cultural settings.

**Decrease in Externalizing Problems**

As a result of the intervention, results showed a significant decrease in externalizing problems on the BASC-2, parent-report form. This finding indicates that the housemothers, who act as parental figures in the girls’ home, observed significantly fewer externalizing problems such as hyperactivity and aggression, following the intervention. Problem solving deficits have been linked to aggression and other behavioral problems in the literature; therefore, as this community’s ability to utilize problem solving skills improved, we expected that externalizing problems would decrease (Dodge & Frame, 1982; Lochman & Dodge, 1994; Orobio de Castro et al. 2005; Raftery et al., 2010). This study provides additional support for this correlation between problem solving ability and externalizing problems.

**Decrease in Conduct Problems**

Similarly, findings also showed that the scores for conduct problems significantly decreased on the BASC-2, parent-report form as a result of the intervention. This means that the housemothers observed a decrease in conduct problems after the problem solving intervention. In Scott (2008) that presented an update on interventions for conduct disorder, problem solving skills training with in vivo practice and Coping Power Program were identified as two of the more popular treatment models for treating children with behavioral problems. Also, research on the effectiveness of the Coping Power Program has shown significant improvements in children's
disruptive behavior problems across a number of clinical trials within the past decade (Cabiya et al., 2008; Lochman et al., 2006; Lochman et al., 2001; Lochman & Wells, 2002, 2003, 2004; Peterson et al., 2009; van de Wiel et al., 2007). This study demonstrates that problem solving skills training using the PICC model (taken from the Coping Power Program) can be used to effectively reduce conduct problems with this marginalized community of girls.

**Increase in Adaptive Skills and Adaptability**

While results showed that there was no significant change in functional communication or social skill scores on the BASC-2, parent-report form, there was a significant increase in the Adaptive Skills domain as a result of the intervention. Items assessing adaptive skills include “Adjusts easily to new surroundings,” “Adjusts well to changes in family plans,” and “Recovers quickly after a setback.” One staff member wrote the comment on the satisfaction survey, “I see more ownership of behavior and that they see they have the power and choice to change their own behavior.” When the girls experienced confrontation with housemates, they were better able to resolve the problem themselves without relying on the housemother to resolve the issue, as they frequently did before the intervention. The most significant change in the girls’ environment requiring adaptability skills, was the incorporation of the token economy system. The girls’ response to that system was almost immediate, resulting in a positive change in behavior from week one to week two.

**Staff Satisfaction with Intervention**

Finally, results showed that staff members at the girls’ home were satisfied with the program, viewed it as helpful, and the large majority were confident in their ability to continue it. One staff member wrote the comment, “I notice the girls thinking before making decisions more
often” on the satisfaction survey. There are many possible explanations for the success of this intervention. According to dissemination research, the relationship the researcher has with the organization it is trying to serve is very important to the process of sharing information (NIH, 2014). While some researchers question the validity of participatory action research stating there is a lack of generalizability and causality due to the involvement of participants, literature on the validity of PAR asserts that the participants’ involvement in the research is necessary in order to educate the population and create social change (McTaggart, 2007). In addition, this behavioral intervention received the support of staff from the beginning since it was selected based on consultation with the program directors to address their main concerns, needs, and goals (G. Sequiera & W. Sequiera, personal communication, November 6, 2011). The housemothers became increasingly motivated to participate in the intervention, because they mediated fewer interpersonal conflicts as problem solving skills improved. The structure of the organization places eight girls under the care of one housemother; thus, there were naturally reinforcing consequences for both the girls and the housemothers.

In addition, immediately after the first round of rewards were distributed, the staff remarked on how quickly the girls’ behavior changed. While consulting with the directors of the organization, they reported that verbal praise and privileges for good behavior were not a part of Nicaraguan culture, but they were interested in implementing them into their community (G. Sequiera & W. Sequiera, personal communication, November 6, 2011). There is a large body of literature that supports the effectiveness of using positive reinforcement for behavior modification (Dobson, 2010). Perhaps the girls’ immediate behavioral changes in response to
receiving verbal praise and privileges, was due to a shortage of reinforcement for good behavior in the past.

The majority of staff endorsed that they felt comfortable continuing the behavior chart on their own. Over the span of this two-week long intervention, the housemothers were given increasingly more responsibility for going through the behavior chart with the girls in their house. Initially, the researcher modeled the process of assessing problem solving skill development. Then the housemothers started leading the process while the researcher provided feedback through observation. At the end, the housemothers were conducting the entire process on their own. The methods for training these housemothers in problem solving skills and how to create and maintain a token economy system involved didactic instruction, coaching, modeling, rehearsal, feedback, and positive reinforcement. This process of decreasing the researcher’s role in the token economy system was likely what contributed to staff’s comfort continuing the system on their own.

**Implications for Research and Practice**

One of the main implications of this study for research and practice is that it demonstrates problem solving skills can be used effectively cross-culturally. The PICC model, when translated into Spanish and its concepts thoroughly explained through various teaching methods, can be comprehended and utilized by this community of Nicaraguan girls. This study contributes to the existing body of dissemination research by providing a step-by-step intervention that clinicians can replicate in order to teach skills to cross-cultural communities.

Additionally, this study shows that token economy systems can be used effectively cross-culturally when they are tailored to fit the setting. This Nicaraguan community responded with
rapid behavior change when they were provided with daily and weekly positive reinforcement. The positive reinforcement consisted of rewards that were already built into the organization, such as additional computer time, television time, naptime, and telephone time. Instead of receiving these rewards with or without demonstrating good behavior, the girls only received them if they displayed problem solving behavior. This study relied heavily on staff input for positive reinforcements and staff delivery of reinforcements; therefore, it’s important that clinicians/researchers working with an organization foster collaboration with staff members.

This leads to the third implication for this research, which is the importance of staff inclusion in the development, implementation, and continuation of cross-cultural interventions. Literature on consultation with outside organizations highlights the importance of gaining a thorough understanding of that organization’s needs and goals in order to develop the correct intervention (Kirmayer, Guzder, & Rousseau, 2013). With cross-cultural research and practice, additional work should be done to understand that culture’s values, beliefs, and behavioral norms. In this Nicaraguan community, the housemothers knew their girls’ problem areas and had already gained their trust; thus, they were essential in the process of implementing an entirely new and unfamiliar system for these girls. Without the aide of the housemothers and other staff members, the girls would not have been as committed to learning these skills. The housemothers are also Nicaraguan, so their cultural and linguistic adjustments made during the implementation process improved comprehension of the training materials. Additionally, involving staff in the development and implementation steps of the intervention made the process of transitioning them into leadership roles much smoother. The ultimate goal of dissemination research is to share healthcare information with outside communities in such a way that this community can
then incorporate and sustain it for themselves. Therefore, their involvement in all three steps of the process; development, implementation, and continuation, was found to be essential.

A final broader implication from this research is that female children and adolescents from abusive, impoverished, and neglectful homes can successfully learn skills to resolve problems independently. The majority of these girls did not have parents who taught them the skills to deal with problems they’d encounter in life. It was not a priority when their basic needs for food, water, and shelter were uncertain on a daily basis. However, even though these skills were not modeled for them during childhood they were still able to learn and demonstrate these skills when shown the procedure. This proves the capacity for marginalized girls to learn new behavioral skills when we invest the time to teach them.

This organization and their mission are responsible for a huge part of the behavioral gains made through this intervention. They removed these girls from the dangers and unpredictability of the city dump and placed them in a safe and stable home that addressed their basic needs. Because of this, these girls did not have to return to a chaotic environment after learning these new skills. These results would not have been the same if the girls learned these skills and went back to the city dump. The structured living and consistent reinforcement at the girls’ home provided an environment where the girls could practice their new problem solving skills and gain mastery of a skill set that will likely help them become more successful in life.

**Limitations**

There are some limitations with the present study. First, there was a disproportionate distribution among the ages of the participants receiving training, and the sample was relatively
small. The generalizability of the findings is therefore limited to communities with this demographic.

Additionally, it would have been useful to have later child and adolescent outcomes, to know whether these encouraging findings for the intervention can translate to long-term behavior change. Pre and post test data was collected within a three-week time frame; therefore, it is uncertain whether the rapid progress seen initially in the girls’ behavior will continue.

Due to the nature of PAR, there is a chance that the results of this study were partially influenced by the Rosenthal Effect. Since participants were aware of the study’s intentions to improve the girls’ problem solving skills, housemothers may have reported improvements in behavior in order to please the researcher. That being said, observations of the girls’ behavior throughout the intervention support housemothers’ responses of improved behavior change.

A final limitation is that materials used for problem solving skills training were created by this researcher and the cooperating organization, and used for this specific community of girls. This intervention can be used to inform the process of participatory action research with another organization, but considerations for the other organization’s concerns, needs, and goals, as well as cultural and demographic information should be taken into account when conducting similar participatory action research.

Further research should examine the usefulness of this intervention on other communities of at-risk Latin American youth in residential facilities, to assess its generalizability to other groups. Lastly, it would be beneficial to examine the effects of this problem solving intervention on long-term behavior change.
Conclusion

The results of this study indicate that problem solving skills can significantly reduce observed externalizing problems and conduct problems, and significantly increase observed adaptability behaviors. Findings also indicate that this specific community of at-risk female children and adolescents were motivated by the presence of a token economy system to increase daily problem solving behaviors. Staff surveys endorsed that they were satisfied with the behavior intervention and confident in their ability to continue it without the help of the researcher. This intervention program is intended to contribute to the existing body of dissemination research, spreading evidence-based health care information to communities in need.
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Appendix A

Procedure Protocol

STEP 1: Training Staff

Prior to conducting problem solving skills training, the researcher held group meetings with the girls’ home staff. These meetings involved an overview explanation of the model including problem solving, token economy, and weekly behavior charts. Staff received training on important elements of behavior theory, such as how to reward positive behavior and not reward negative behaviors with attention. Staff was informed of their role in the intervention: observing the girls’ behaviors throughout the day, rewarding problem solving behaviors with verbal praise, and reporting negative behaviors to the housemothers.

STEP 2: Application to community: Identified specific problem behaviors & developed intervention tools.

The researcher met with the four staff members that interact most with the girls. The researcher explained the steps of problem solving again, as well as how and when to reinforce problem-solving behavior. The researcher and staff members generated a list of daily and weekly rewards to be distributed to the girls demonstrating problem-solving behavior. Staff also identified a few general problems within each of their houses that could be used as examples. These problems included: tattling, gossip, physical fights, and chores. Short videos were created to display the specific problems identified by the housemothers. These videos showed the problem but not the solution.
STEP 3: Pre-Intervention Assessment

The researcher conducted a large group meeting in the rancho at the girls’ home with all the girls and staff members. The girls completed the informed consent forms, BASC self-report forms, and demographic information. This process lasted about 2½ hours. The housemothers were also given informed consent forms and BASC parent forms to complete on each girl in their house. They signed the informed consent forms immediately, and completed the parent-report forms in three days. This initial testing using the BASC- parent and self-report forms was used to obtain a baseline for externalizing problems and adaptive skills. Test completion was overseen by a masters level clinical psychology student, and a Spanish interpreter and staff members were present to address questions.

STEP 4: Problem Solving Skills Training

The researcher conducted problem solving skills training with each of the three houses, separately. The girls watched a short video displaying a problem, and afterward the girls went through each step of the PICC model to solve that problem:

1. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION (PI): they identified the problem and the goal according to each person in the video. This process involved perspective taking.
2. IDENTIFY CHOICES (C): they identified as many solutions to the problem as they could, and their responses were recorded on a white erase board at the front of the group.
3. CHOOSE THE BEST SOLUTION (C): they identified the consequences of each solution.

The researcher explained the term “consequence”. Then they were asked, “How can you tell if a consequence is good or bad?” The girls learned that a consequence is good if it helps the
person to reach an important goal. They rated each consequence as either good or bad and then chose the best solution.

Afterward, the girls were given the opportunity to be videotaped acting out the problem with the solution they created during the group discussion. When all of the girls practiced solving the problem on video they reviewed those videos together.

This training process involved a number of learning methods, including audio & visual learning techniques, rehearsal, role-play, and review. Each house received two separate trainings and each session lasted approximately 1.5 hours.

**STEP 5: Daily Meetings With Weekly Behavior Chart**

**WEEK 1**

The day after each house received their first training on problem solving skills, the researcher started monitoring and rewarding each girl’s progress toward demonstrating problem solving skills using the weekly behavior chart. A weekly behavior chart and PICC model were placed side by side and hung on the living room wall of each house.

Every night for one week the girls and housemother in each house gathered together around the weekly behavior chart. The researcher modeled for the housemothers how to use the behavior chart to assess the girls’ progress in utilizing problem solving skills. The researcher went down the list of names one-by-one and asked the same questions: “Did you encounter any problems today?” “Were you able to resolve the problem?” “If you did resolve the problem, how did you resolve the problem?” “If you didn’t resolve the problem, how would you resolve the problem now?” They could ask the other girls, the housemother, and/or the researcher for assistance if needed. The girls who demonstrated improvement in the area of problem solving
that day put a check mark by their name. Immediately afterward, those girls selected a privilege from the list of daily privileges. This process lasted approximately 1 to 1.5 hours.

At the end of the week, the girls who had earned five out of seven check marks were allowed to select a privilege from the weekly privileges list. After the first week of the intervention, the staff decided to take the girls who earned five check marks on a trip to the mall.

WEEK 2

During week 2, the housemothers started leading the meetings that assessed each girl’s progress using the behavior charts. Privileges were selected immediately after receiving the check mark. The researcher took an observatory role and answered questions for housemothers as needed. Afterward, the researcher provided feedback to the housemothers.

WEEK 3

During week 3, the housemothers independently facilitated the group sessions using the weekly behavior chart and provided daily and weekly privileges to girls demonstrating problem-solving skills.

STEP 6: Post-Intervention Assessment

At the end of the third week, the housemothers completed the BASC parent-report form for each of their eight girls, and all of the girls completed the BASC self-report form. Pre and post test scores were used to evaluate changes in externalizing problems, conduct problems, adaptive skills, adaptability, social skills, and functional communication. It took about one hour for all the girls to complete their self-report forms, and it took about two days for the housemothers to complete their parent-report forms. All staff completed a brief 5-item satisfaction survey to evaluate the intervention.
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- Received one hour of individual supervision and one hour of group supervision each week.

5/2012
Consultation and Intervention (42 hours per week for 3 weeks)
Villa Esperanza, residential care facility, Managua, Nicaragua
Supervisor: Elizabeth Hamilton, PhD
Populations: At-risk mono-lingual children and adolescents, adult staff members
Clinical Responsibilities:
- Consulted with program directors to address needs within their organization.
- Developed behavior intervention program focusing on problem solving skill development
- Facilitated weekly group trainings with staff to teach them behavior modification techniques.
- Collaborated with staff to implement intervention program.
- Provided group skill development trainings with youth.
- Conducted individual therapy with select girls exhibiting symptoms of trauma.
- Administered pre and post assessment measures and satisfaction surveys to determine success of the intervention program.
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• Proposed evidence-based recommendations in preventative mental health care for participants.

9/2010 - 12/2010  
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**Adult Measures**

- 16PF- Fifth Edition
- Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ-II)
- Cognitive Fusion Questionnaire (CFQ-13)
- Conners’ Adult ADHD Rating Scales
- Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory Third Edition (MCMI-III)
- Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory Second Edition (MMPI-II)
- Outcome Questionnaire (OQ-45)
- Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)
- Patient’s Behavior Checklist for ADHD Adults
- Personality Assessment Inventory (PAI)
- Physical Complaints Checklist for ADHD Adults
- Self-Rating Symptom Checklist for ADHD Adults
- Wechsler Nonverbal Test of Ability (WNV)
- Wechsler’s Adult Intelligence Scale – Fourth Edition (WAIS-IV)
- Wechsler’s Individual Achievement Test- Third Edition (WIAT-III)
- Wide Range Assessment of Memory and Learning – Second Edition (WRAML-2)

**Child and Adolescent Measures**

- Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functioning (BRIEF)
• Behavioral Assessment System for Children, Second Edition (BASC-II)
• Brown Attention Deficit Disorder Scales (Brown ADD Scales)
• Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS)
• Conners’ Rating Scales, Third Edition (Conners 3)
• Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS), Trail Making Test & Color-Word Interference Test
• Gilliam Asperger’s Disorder Scale (GADS)
• House, Tree, Person
• Millon Adolescent Clinical Inventory (MACI)
• Roberts Apperception Test for Children (RATC)
• Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales, Second Edition
• Wechsler’s Intelligence Scale for Children – Fourth Edition (WISC-IV)
• Wide Range Achievement Test – Fourth Edition (WRAT4)
• Wide Range Intelligence Test (WRIT)
• Woodcock Johnson-Third Edition Achievement Battery (WJ-III ACH)
• Woodcock Johnson-Third Edition Cognitive Battery (WJ-III COG)
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